

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MU

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/496,249	02/02/2000	William A. Horwarth	CM-0002	5923
23532	7590 01/30/2004		EXAMINER	
DANIEL C. STELTER, GROUP IP COUNSEL UNOVA INDUSTRIAL AUTOMATION SYSTEMS INC.			REIS, TRAVIS M	
UNOVA INI 2200 LITTO		ION SYSTEMS INC.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HEBRON, I			2859	
			DATE MAILED: 01/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/496,249	HORWARTH ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	, (
	Travis M Reis	2859	MW
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12-24-2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	rvoid abandonment of this applions) a timely filed amendment which all (with appeal fee); or (3) a times.	cation. A proper re ich places the appli	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the lan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	's Brief must be filed within the $\parallel$ R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does N	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a) $oxtimes$ will not be entered or $t$	o)□ will be entered low or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>9-16</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>1-4</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 17-20.			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b)□ disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	
10. Other:		CHRISTOPHE PRIMARY	R W. FULTON Examiner
			/ H
	/	11 11.	

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The change in claim 1 of replacing " an axis of rotation" with the limitation "the spindle trunnion axis" "is considered to be a new issue since this new limitation was not present in the finally rejected claims.